

CITY OF MILES CITY

DOWNTOWN URBAN RENEWAL PLAN

Adopted
Ordinance 1294
May 12, 2015



Contents

BACKGROUND AND STATEMENT OF PURPOSE3

INTRODUCTION4

HISTORY.....6

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY7

DEFINITIONS..... 12

PRIOR POLICY AND REDEVELOPMENT EFFORTS..... 15

PROPOSED DISTRICT ATTRIBUTES..... 17

BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION 18

MAP 19

DETERMINATION OF BLIGHT 20

HOUSING 20

PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE AND FACILITIES 21

INFILL DEVELOPMENT 21

CONFORMANCE TO THE GROWTH POLICY 22

CURRENT ZONING 22

TAX INCREMENT FINANCING..... 22

GOALS AND OBJECTIVES..... 24

GOAL One: Create Vibrant Historic Downtown Business District 24

Goal Two: Attract and Retain Work Force 25

Goal Three: Historic Resources 26

Goal Four: Housing for all income levels..... 26

Goal Five: Infrastructure..... 27

Goal Six: Transportation 27

PUBLIC INVOLVMENT 28

ADMINISTRATION 29

ANNUAL BUDGET 29

APPENDICES 30

APPENDIX A: PROJECT PRIORITY MATRIX 30

APPENDIX B: PROPERTY OWNERS..... 32

APPENDIX C: STATE STATUTES 42

APPENDIX D: LIST OF PARTICIPANTS..... 45

BACKGROUND AND STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

During a Community Discussion hosted at the Miles City Library in August of 2012 to discuss the impact of regional energy development, the group also voiced concerns about the increasing number of vacant properties in the Miles City's Main Street business district. The loss of green space for new business construction near Interstate 94 on South Haynes Avenue to accommodate energy growth was a stark contrast to the deterioration of a beautiful Historic Main Street District lacking in similar development. The Director of the City's Historic Preservation Office responded by proposing the "Revitalize Downtown" project to City Council and the Historic Preservation Commission. The Miles City Preservation Commission plays a major role in the City's planning process as an advisory Board to the City which reviews and comments on planning programs undertaken by the city, through the city zoning commission and the city/county planning board on matters relative to historic resources such as land use, economic development, municipal improvements, housing and other public programs. The Director and Commission scheduled a second Community Discussion in November of 2012 to focus on the concerns of the community specific to the Historic Main Street District. The findings of that meeting are attached as Appendix A, Project Priorities Matrix.

The Miles City Revitalize Downtown (MCRD) project is designed to answer the growing concern voiced by the community over the ongoing deterioration of the iconic business district which represents our City's cultural heritage and a significant economic asset. It is a primary goal of the MCRD project level the playing field between redevelopment and greenfield development.

A broader collaboration between the City, the Miles City Area Economic Development Council, Miles City Housing Authority, Fort Keogh Livestock and Range Research Station and other area service groups, the MCRD project works to design strategies, financial incentives, and provide technical assistance that will address the specific barriers or challenges to development in the Main Street Historic District, Miles City's primary business corridor. The Revitalize Downtown team refers recommendations to the City Council for consideration in supporting economic development in historic downtown Miles City.

The Urban Renewal Plan with a Tax Increment Finance option is one of many initiatives of the Revitalize Downtown project. The strategy to provide capital resources and leverage existing funds is a critical component of the larger effort to incentivize redevelopment in the city's main business corridor. TIF District revenue can be packaged with other funding mechanisms which include, but are not limited to grant match dollars, New Market Tax Credits, Historic Preservation Tax Credits, EPA Brownfields dollars, Montana Board of Investment Loans, Montana Department of Transportation planning and construction dollars, and Montana Board of Investment Infrastructure loans.


To implement the Tax Increment Finance District Initiative, the City sought grants from the Montana Community Development Block Grant-Economic Development program, the Montana Main Street programs and private sources to fund a Tax Increment Finance District Feasibility Study, and to proceed with creation of the district should it prove feasible. On April 22, 2014, the city contracted with Zeier Consulting, LLC to conduct the Feasibility Study and advise the City Council in creating the District should it prove feasible.

On June 24, 2014, the Miles City Council adopted **Resolution 3686** approving the Feasibility Determination for the proposed Miles City Downtown Urban Renewal District with the option for Tax Increment Financing and authorized Zeier Consulting, LLC of Billings, Montana to conduct a professional study for the purpose of determining if blight exists within the downtown Miles City area. The Study conducted determined that blight exists in the area in accordance with Urban Renewal Law (7-15 Parts 42 & 43 M.C.A.). Based on the findings of the Zeier Study, the City Council adopted **Resolution 3705**, "declaring the intent to create the Miles City Downtown Urban Renewal District with Tax Increment Authority; and declaring the existence of blight within the Miles City Downtown Urban Renewal area". The Council directed Zeier Consulting to prepare the Miles City Downtown Urban Renewal Plan. This Plan is the result of that effort.

INTRODUCTION

The State of Montana has provided local municipalities with the Urban Renewal Plan process through Montana Code Annotated Title 7 Chapter 15 Part 42 & 43. Montana Urban Renewal as it is known

provides for a process known as Tax Increment Finance. This process allows a municipality to designate a certain area of the city that is infrastructure deficient or blighted as an Urban Renewal District. A base tax value is determined for that District and tax revenue continues to be distributed to all taxing jurisdictions (including the City) based on that value. The City also receives any incremental property tax revenues generated in the Urban Renewal District for planning and redevelopment activities. The incremental tax revenues generated in the district must be spent within the district for infrastructure improvements as incentives to encourage redevelopment in the District. After an initial 15 year term the base values reset to the current level and all other taxing jurisdiction then receives the base values and the incremental revenues generated. See illustration below.



HISTORY

Miles City and the region have a rich and colorful history. Downtown Miles City is a unique place that is steeped in the history of the west. Our history extends back to the days of the dinosaurs and nomadic hunters following the herd migrations. The first modern group to make their home here permanently appears to have been the Crow Indians. They were followed by fur trappers, the Lewis & Clark Expedition and other early explorers.

Miles City as we know it today was established at the confluence of two iconic rivers, the Yellowstone and the Tongue, in 1877, in response to Custer's defeat at the Battle of the Little Bighorn. The fort was built to carry out the political decision to remove the native peoples to reservations during the Great Sioux Wars. This ultimately made way for increasing numbers of settlers from all over the world, cattle barons and farmers alike that found our region inviting.

As a civilian settlement around the Fort Keogh military outpost, the city immediately began to flourish as a regional trade hub on the frontier. At that time, steamboats and bull trains were the means of travel, commerce and shipping. Early buildings were of log or locally milled lumber construction. The early log and lumber buildings provided great fuel for fires; and Miles City experienced a number of major fires in the early business district.

As they rebuilt the city's core business district, the founding fathers encouraged the City's role as a regional trade center and planned accordingly. They designed the city based on the concept that "looking prosperous would attract prosperity".

Architects were hired and as the city fathers tried to outdo each other, a city featuring impressive architecture of enduring materials, beautiful homes, parks, landscapes and walkways was built.

The concept worked. Leighton and Jordan, early businessmen, were the largest supplier of ranch and home goods in the entire Northwest Territories. Other businesses and trades were attracted to the growing city, and Miles City was, for a time, the second-largest banking center in Montana

and held the record for the most millionaires per capita. Miles City has served as eastern Montana's largest city, providing hospitality, commerce, education, cultural, recreation, medical, government, and other services to a large rural region for over 135 years.

Their legacy is a beautiful downtown district constructed with enduring materials and inspiring architecture that reflects our proud cultural heritage which was listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 1989.

Extending from Riverside Park to the railroad underpass, Main Street features an array of stunning architectural styles that reflect a prosperous past. Always a source of great pride in the community, sadly, the downtown historic district can now only be described as blighted.

The efforts of the community has led to the development of policies and plans to help preserve this history and plan carefully to incorporate new development into the downtown. This careful, well-conceived, and transparent planning process is a critical component to the success of this Urban Renewal Plan.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A vibrant downtown area is a vital component of a healthy community. The Downtown area of Miles City has undergone significant change in the last fifty years due to several factors affecting the economic viability of the area, including but not limited to:

- Miles City began to experience a long, slow deterioration of the downtown business corridor following construction of the Interstate highway system and rerouting of State highways in the 1960s. Without the automobile traffic to support them, numerous businesses located on Main either closed due to lack of business or migrated to higher traffic areas near the Interstate highway exits on South Haynes Avenue hoping to increase sales.
- Declining economic conditions also contributed to the decrease in business in the main business district and eventually other retail and service businesses followed or closed up shop completely.

- The Main Street District experienced a series of devastating fires between 1980 and 2009 which left an impact as well. During that time, the City lost many successful businesses and historically significant buildings on Main Street. There has been some rebuilding, though there are still parcels that have been left as vacant and underutilized.
- This shift has been accelerated in recent years as more businesses have either closed or left Main Street.
- The result is that the Main Street business corridor is no longer viewed as an attractive location due to lack of traffic, resulting in a decline in business investment in the Downtown. This lack of development is evidenced in the high ratio of empty storefronts and declining real estate transactions in the Downtown.

During the 1980's the local Historical Society worked diligently to list the Main Street District. It was hoped that designation as a historic district would help incentivize preservation of the buildings through tax credits. Economic conditions at the time were not conducive to redevelopment, even with the tax incentives for commercial properties. The Main Street District originally included ninety-nine buildings (99), sixty (60) of which were considered contributing buildings and thirty-nine (39) non-contributing buildings. Based on a recent survey of the district, only fifty-five (55) of the ninety-nine original buildings listed on the Historic Register remain standing. Of those, only thirty-three (33) of the original sixty (60) "contributing" buildings have survived and remain mostly intact historically. Unfortunately, the downtown district often goes overlooked by new businesses or potential investors, primarily because of the fear that the cost of having to renovate these older buildings far outweighs the cost of simply building brand new. The fact that the downtown district has become a seemingly low traffic area (due to the migration and closures of businesses) does nothing to convince businesses to open their doors downtown. The overall appeal of the city core has deteriorated significantly discouraging any possibility of new business investments.

Per MCA 7-15-4206(2)(a),(i), and (j), the downtown is eligible for inclusion on an Urban Renewal Area with a TIF District provision as a solution to address its current market and physical deterioration, the deterioration of site and site improvements, as well as a diversity of ownership that makes consensus on any potential redevelopment activities challenging and difficult.

The study of the Miles City Downtown core began with a data review process evaluating current property values within the Main Street Historic District and adjacent City neighborhoods, followed by site inspections throughout those portions of the City included in proposed TIF boundaries. The process continued with interviews with property owners and stakeholders. As part of this plan there have been meetings held with:

- City Council Members
- Downtown property owners
- Downtown business owners
- Business owners that have relocated out of the downtown
- City Staff that are responsible for maintaining and improving public infrastructure.
- Local economic development staff

There is a consensus that there is significant opportunity to be had for the redevelopment of the downtown core. The downtown is not meant to compete with the Haynes development and this plan is not meant to suggest that. The downtown needs to leverage the available tools, such as a TIF District, to provide property and business owners a level playing field to develop businesses that are viable. The South Haynes corridor experiences high transient traffic by offering regional residents and travelers food, lodging, automotive and truck sales and repair, and box store goods. This captured market demographic represents an opportunity for Main Street businesses to offer complimentary goods and services that will attract and retain that demographic.

The initial feasibility portion of this planning process has determined the plan for a TIFD in Miles City relates directly to the likelihood that such a District will successfully address the slow economic decline of the area and encourage investment in the main business corridor. The findings of this initial study concluded that the creation of a TIFD in Miles City has a high chance of success due to the following facts:

- The downtown is eligible under Montana Statute for an Urban Renewal District with a TIF provision.
- There are significant issues with multiple properties in the downtown such as empty storefronts, infrastructure deficiencies, and lack of investment that can be addressed in the Urban Renewal Planning process.
- There is an upswing in the regional economy due to energy development in the Bakken area, and as a result there is increased market interest in Miles City to locate businesses as evidenced in the development of the South Haynes corridor.
- The increased volume of Interstate traffic to and from the Bakken region creates an economic environment which provides additional opportunity to capture a market share for businesses offering goods and services complimentary to those offered in the business areas serving the Interstate exits into the City.
- There is an increased demand for housing across all income levels in the region.
- The downtown area generates higher per square foot tax revenues than large paved areas in surrounding business districts.
- Re-use of existing infrastructure which is already in place and is financially viable.
- The City is located in Census Tract 30017962000 making it eligible for New Market Tax Credits.
- The business corridor is listed on the National Register of Historic Places making a high percentage of properties eligible for Historic Preservation Tax Credits.
- The City's unique history in combination with cultural and tourism events such as the Bucking Horse Sale, the Bluegrass Festival and other local events make it a platform for creating a travel destination.
- The City is home to a number of stable economic drivers that attract a diverse regional and national demographic to the city such as the Community College, Fort Keogh Research Station, Miles City Livestock Commission, Range Riders, the Art Center and the Eastern Montana Fair which provides an opportunity for business to capture a portion of the existing market share.

- The redevelopment of a downtown area has already seen significant investment from the public sector in the form of land use regulation changes such as rezoning to allow for mixed use buildings, a Brownfields project to eliminate environmental issues as a barrier to redevelopment, and the willingness to begin the discussion of an Urban Renewal Plan with a Tax Increment District provision.

There is a significant amount of interest from the community for the redevelopment of the downtown core. The proposed Urban Renewal District and its corresponding Urban Renewal Plan will be a critical element in the redevelopment of Downtown. The Urban Renewal Plan is an ideal vehicle for the capturing of public input from property owners and public officials to set the long term vision of the Downtown area. The process to be undertaken is to be a transparent and public process that captures all input that is important to the Urban Renewal Plan. What the Downtown can be reinvented as and how to get there is the whole point of an Urban Renewal Plan and is perhaps the most important component of this project. Only through the Urban Renewal Planning process can the goals and objectives of the community be realized.

DEFINITIONS

MCA 7-15-4206. Definitions.

The following terms, wherever used or referred to in part 43 or this part, have the following meanings unless a different meaning is clearly indicated by the context:

- (1) "Agency" or "urban renewal agency" means a public agency created by [7-15-4232](#).
- (2) "Blighted area" means an area that is conducive to ill health, transmission of disease, infant mortality, juvenile delinquency, and crime, that substantially impairs or arrests the sound growth of the city or its environs, that retards the provision of housing accommodations, or that constitutes an economic or social liability or is detrimental or constitutes a menace to the public health, safety, welfare, and morals in its present condition and use, by reason of:
 - (a) the substantial physical dilapidation, deterioration, age obsolescence, or defective construction, material, and arrangement of buildings or improvements, whether residential or nonresidential;
 - (b) inadequate provision for ventilation, light, proper sanitary facilities, or open spaces as determined by competent appraisers on the basis of an examination of the building standards of the municipality;
 - (c) inappropriate or mixed uses of land or buildings;
 - (d) high density of population and overcrowding;
 - (e) defective or inadequate street layout;
 - (f) faulty lot layout in relation to size, adequacy, accessibility, or usefulness;
 - (g) excessive land coverage;
 - (h) unsanitary or unsafe conditions;
 - (i) deterioration of site;
 - (j) diversity of ownership;
 - (k) tax or special assessment delinquency exceeding the fair value of the land;
 - (l) defective or unusual conditions of title;
 - (m) improper subdivision or obsolete platting;
 - (n) the existence of conditions that endanger life or property by fire or other causes; or
 - (o) any combination of the factors listed in this subsection (2).
- (3) "Bonds" means any bonds, notes, or debentures, including refunding obligations, authorized to be issued pursuant to part 43 or this part.
- (4) "Clerk" means the clerk or other official of the municipality who is the custodian of the official records of the municipality.
- (5) "Federal government" means the United States of America or any agency or instrumentality, corporate or otherwise, of the United States of America.
- (6) "Local governing body" means the council or other legislative body charged with governing the municipality.
- (7) "Mayor" means the chief executive of a city or town.

- (8) "Municipality" means any incorporated city or town in the state.
- (9) "Neighborhood development program" means the yearly activities or undertakings of a municipality in an urban renewal area or areas if the municipality elects to undertake activities on an annual increment basis.
- (10) "Obligee" means any bondholder or agent or trustee for any bondholder or lessor conveying to the municipality property used in connection with an urban renewal project or any assignee or assignees of the lessor's interest or any part of the interest and the federal government when it is a party to any contract with the municipality
- (11) "Person" means any individual, firm, partnership, corporation, company, association, joint-stock association, or school district and includes any trustee, receiver, assignee, or other person acting in a similar representative capacity.
- (12) "Public body" means the state or any municipality, township, board, commission, district, or other subdivision or public body of the state.
- (13) "Public officer" means any officer who is in charge of any department or branch of the government of the municipality relating to health, fire, building regulations, or other activities concerning dwellings in the municipality.
- (14) "Public use" means:
- (a) a public use enumerated in [70-30-102](#); or
 - (b) a project financed by the method provided for in [7-15-4288](#).
- (15) "Real property" means all lands, including improvements and fixtures on the land, all property of any nature appurtenant to the land or used in connection with the land, and every estate, interest, right, and use, legal or equitable, in the land, including terms for years and liens by way of judgment, mortgage, or otherwise.
- (16) "Redevelopment" may include:
- (a) acquisition of a blighted area or portion of the area;
 - (b) demolition and removal of buildings and improvements;
 - (c) installation, construction, or reconstruction of streets, utilities, parks, playgrounds, and other improvements necessary for carrying out in the area the urban renewal provisions of this part in accordance with the urban renewal plan; and
 - (d) making the land available for development or redevelopment by private enterprise or public agencies, including sale, initial leasing, or retention by the municipality itself, at its fair value for uses in accordance with the urban renewal plan. If the property is condemned pursuant to Title 70, chapter 30, the private enterprise or public agencies may not develop the condemned area in a way that is not for a public use.
- (17) (a) "Rehabilitation" may include the restoration and renewal of a blighted area or portion of the area in accordance with an urban renewal plan by:
- (i) carrying out plans for a program of voluntary or compulsory repair and rehabilitation of buildings or other improvements;
 - (ii) acquisition of real property and demolition or removal of buildings and improvements on the property when necessary to eliminate unhealthful, unsanitary, or unsafe conditions, to lessen density, to reduce traffic hazards, to eliminate obsolete or other uses detrimental to the public welfare, to otherwise remove or prevent the spread of blight or deterioration, or to provide land for needed public facilities;
 - (iii) installation, construction, or reconstruction of streets, utilities, parks, playgrounds,

and other improvements necessary for carrying out in the area the urban renewal provisions of this part; and

(iv) subject to [7-15-4259](#)(4), the disposition of any property acquired in the urban renewal area, including sale, initial leasing, or retention by the municipality itself, at its fair value for uses in accordance with the urban renewal plan.

(b) Rehabilitation may not include the development of the condemned area in a way that is not for a public use if the property is condemned pursuant to Title 70, chapter 30.

(18) "Urban renewal area" means a blighted area that the local governing body designates as appropriate for an urban renewal project or projects.

(19) "Urban renewal plan" means a plan for one or more urban renewal areas or for an urban renewal project. The plan:

(a) must conform to the growth policy if one has been adopted pursuant to Title 76, chapter 1; and

(b) must be sufficiently complete to indicate, on a yearly basis or otherwise:

(i) any land acquisition, demolition, and removal of structures; redevelopment; improvements; and rehabilitation that is proposed to be carried out in the urban renewal area;

(ii) zoning and planning changes, if any, including changes to the growth policy if one has been adopted pursuant to Title 76, chapter 1;

(iii) land uses, maximum densities, building requirements; and

(iv) the plan's relationship to definite local objectives respecting appropriate land uses, improved traffic, public transportation, public utilities, recreational and community facilities, and other public improvements.

(20) (a) "Urban renewal project" may include undertakings or activities of a municipality in an urban renewal area for the elimination and for the prevention of the development or spread of blight and may involve redevelopment in an urban renewal area, rehabilitation or conservation in an urban renewal area, or any combination or part of redevelopment, rehabilitation, or conservation in accordance with an urban renewal plan.

(b) An urban renewal project may not include using property that was condemned pursuant to Title 70, chapter 30, for anything other than a public use.

PRIOR POLICY AND REDEVELOPMENT EFFORTS

The Revitalize Downtown project has already achieved significant traction up to the creation of the Urban Renewal District. Since the inception of the Revitalize Downtown Project, the City of Miles City has accomplished the following:

- Applied for a Community Wide Brownfields Assessment Grant in 2012 and 2013, working with all city departments to develop a plan to integrate Brownfields into ongoing City Planning and Permitting activities and Comprehensive Planning efforts underway within the city.
- Worked with the EPA and the Great Northern Development Corporation of Wolf Point, Montana to perform Targeted Brownfields Assessments and clean-up of hazardous materials in local properties;
- Adopted Ordinance #1252: the Historic Mixed Use Zoning District on June 11, 2013 which creates “a new zoning district giving more flexibility for historic buildings, allowing commercial and residential usage within individual buildings within the historic district”. This revision broadens the definition of “historic district” to include other satellite properties individually listed on the National Register that are not located within a designated Historic District in Miles City. Regulations for enforcement will be drafted in accordance with the City’s growth policy;
- Became Montana Main Street program Affiliate Member, allowing the city access to additional funding and specialized technical assistance available through that program
- Educating the community on leveraging capital using Historic Preservation and New Market Tax Credit programs and the EPA’s Brownfields programs that are available to the City of Miles City.
- Significantly increased the budget and schedule of the Historic Preservation Department to manage the Revitalize Downtown and other related projects
- Obtained a Targeted Brownfields Assessment Grant for a downtown property owner, and will work with the owner to qualify for EPA Brownfields funding for environmental clean-up

There are currently three prior projects underway that represent the City's commitment to the Revitalize Downtown Project. Phase I of the Riverside Park renovations which included installing historic lighting fixtures along newly designed pathways is complete and Phase II is in the planning stage. In addition, the acquisition and restoration of the historic Northern Pacific Depot continues to move forward and the West End project is in the planning phase. The West End project will improve the Interstate exit route leading into the city at the western entrance into town with signage, lighting and landscaping, directing additional customer traffic to Main Street businesses. These projects will use a combination of state and federal funding along with private investment, and will contribute to the first impression formed by all visitors, will increase the appeal and functionality of the Urban Renewal District and incentivize investment in the area.

PROPOSED DISTRICT ATTRIBUTES

The boundaries of the Tax Increment Finance District (TIFD) are designed to surround the City's main business corridor, the Historic Main Street District, with a mixture of properties that create a balance between the population demographic and income levels, commercial and residential use, vacant land suitable for new construction and properties viable for adaptive re-use, renovation and expansion. The boundaries were drawn based on condition of existing infrastructure balanced by the need for additional infrastructure, existing multimodal transportation routes, traffic flow patterns, building conditions and population demographics among other factors. Careful consideration was given to the opportunities for potential economic development within the boundaries, with the primary goal of creating an incubator that will create customer traffic sufficient to support a healthy downtown business district. It is important to note that a key factor driving boundary selection was to strike a balance between properties with the potential to create Increment Revenue through development, and properties that would benefit from expenditure of increment revenue. The potential of extending the benefit beyond the boundaries drove many of the decisions in boundary selection.

The TIF District includes the historic Washington Middle School and Custer County High School, which serve not only the population of the district, but the whole community. This provides the School Board with opportunities to access Tax Increment revenues throughout the life of the District for building and infrastructure projects. The district includes the fraternal orders that played a significant role in the early economic development of the city. These include the Elks Lodge, the Eagles Lodge and the Masonic Temple. The historic Custer County Courthouse is also located within the district, providing the county the opportunity to propose projects to preserve the beautiful landmark building. Of equal importance, as community partners and stakeholders in the Tax Increment District, increased property values from new development within the TIFD will ultimately accrue to both the County and School Districts at sunset of the District, supporting long term capital improvement planning capability for each. The District is also designed to include important parks and recreation facilities that serve the whole community. Riverside Park, the Oasis, Denton Connor Sports Complex serve young and old alike and are an important part of the City's social fabric.

The proposed Urban Renewal District (District) is approximately 168.82 acres in size, with a 2013 total taxable market value of \$52,397,861. Total real property acreage in Miles City is 487.06 with a current cycle reappraisal value of \$216,570,297. The Urban Renewal District is approximately 34.66% of the land area of the City of Miles City. Historically, the City expanded east from the banks of the Tongue River as far north as the Yellowstone River and included the primary transportation corridors of that period, the intersection of state highways 12 and 59, and the Northern Pacific and Milwaukee Railroads. The District's infrastructure was primarily designed during the period of 1878 and 1910 and shapes the character and ambiance of the City through its historic significance in building this country. The median property tax in Custer County, Montana is \$1,207 per year for a home worth the median value of \$86,700. Custer County collects, on average, 1.39% of a property's assessed fair market value as property tax.


BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION

Legal Description of Miles City Downtown Urban Renewal District The area being considered for inclusion in the proposed urban renewal area is described as:

Beginning at the intersection of Tatro Street and 7th St, continuing east on Tatro Street along the northern border of the City's easement right of way setback to the municipal limits, paralleling the city limits south and east to the intersection of Ullman St and Gordon St, continuing east on Gordon Street along the northern border of the City's easement right of way setback to the intersection of Gordon St and Woodbury St, continuing South on Woodbury along the eastern border of the City's easement right of way setback to the intersection of Woodbury St. and Leighton Blvd, continuing west on Washington St along the southern border of the City's easement right of way setback to the intersection of Washington St and 10St, continuing south on 10th St along the eastern border of the City's easement right of way setback to the intersection of 10th and Pleasant St, continuing east along the northern border of the City's easement right of way setback to the intersection of Pleasant St and N Center Ave, continuing South on N Prairie Ave along the eastern border of the City's easement right of way setback to the intersection of N Prairie Ave and Main St, continuing east on Main Street along the northern border of the City's easement right of way setback to the intersection of Main St and S Lake Ave, continuing south along the eastern border of the City's easement right of way setback to the intersection of S Lake Ave and Fort St, continuing west on Fort Street along the southern border of the City's easement right of way setback to the intersection of Fort St and S Montana Ave, continuing southwest on Montana Street along the southwestern border of the City's easement right of way setback to the intersection of S Montana Ave, Pearl Street and 10th St, continuing northwest on 10th St along the southern border of the City's easement right of way setback to the intersection of 10th St and S Montana Ave and Atlantic Ave, continuing southwest on Atlantic along the southeastern border of the City's easement right of way setback to the intersection of Atlantic and NueVu, continuing southeast on NueVue along the eastern border of the City's easement right of way setback to the

intersection of NueVu and Yellowstone, continuing southwest on Yellowstone along the southern border of the City's easement right of way setback to the city limits and the Tongue River, following the municipal limits west, north, and east to the intersection of Dike Rd and Wilderness Rd, continuing northeast on Wilderness Rd to the intersection of Wilderness Rd and Hubbell St, continuing east on Hubbell St. along the northern border of the City's easement right of way setback to the intersection of Hubbell St and 6th St, continuing north on 6th St along the western border of the City's easement right of way setback to the intersection of 6th and William St, continuing east on William St along the northern border of the City's easement right of way setback to the intersection of William St and 7th St, continuing north on 7th St along the western border of the City's easement right of way setback north to the intersection of 7th St and Tatro Street.

MAP



DETERMINATION OF BLIGHT

There is a statutory requirement that the municipality make a determination of blight prior to the creation of an urban renewal district. The area in the district contains a great deal of blight. Within the district there is substantial structural deterioration, long-term land vacancy, and significant infrastructure needs. Several of the commercial buildings in the district are vacant or deteriorated and are in need of demolition. Many of the sidewalks are cracked or nonexistent, alleys are deteriorated, and, in general, much of the area is below city standards. Montana Code 7-15-4206(2) provides statutory guidance to determine the eligibility of an area of a city to be deemed as blighted. Specifically MCA 715-4206(a)(i)(j)(n) are all items that relate to the condition of the proposed Miles City Downtown Urban Renewal District. The continued lack of investment requires that action be taken by both public and private parties. Portions of the district fall into the blighted, neglected and under-utilized categories. The Miles City Council declared its intention to create a Miles City Downtown Urban Renewal District and have adopted Resolution No. 3705 approved July 8th, 2014 that determines that blight exists in the district.

HOUSING

Housing in Miles City has always been seen as challenging. The stable population base with small increments of growth over the last few decades have led to a situation where there is no elasticity in the market to absorb the demand for housing. The shortage of available housing is present at all income levels, not just the low to moderate income levels. The recent development and unqualified success of large amounts of rental housing have proven that a need exists that is not being met with the current housing stock. The use of the available resources from this plan and the other efforts to attract and incentivize new housing in the district is an important component of the Urban Renewal Plan.

The adjacency to amenities and services is seen as the next best thing in residential development. This national and state trend to provide housing that meets the needs of all demographics is an opportunity to capitalize on the unique nature of the downtown area. The

Urban Renewal District is in a perfect position to take advantage of this trend. The higher density residential development adjacent to the downtown as well as the opportunity to redevelop the historic structures in the downtown core are an excellent opportunity. The benefit would be twofold: the property would be redeveloped and generate income, and a critical housing need would be met.

PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE AND FACILITIES

In numerous discussions with stakeholders and city officials, it is determined that the public infrastructure of the district is in need of repair and upgrade. This infrastructure includes streets, alleys, curb and gutter, sidewalks, public and private utilities, storm sewers, street lighting, traffic signals and other traffic capacity upgrades. In addition, the district includes Custer County High School, Washington School and the Custer County Courthouse. Tax increment dollars can be utilized to make improvements to public buildings. It is important to recognize and involve the locally affected taxing jurisdictions and partner with them through the Urban Renewal Planning process to promote collaboration and cooperation.

The development of public infrastructure is seen as an important element of the plan not only because of the eligibility for TIF funds but also for the benefits to the public. The thought is that public investment can attract further private investment that will in turn provide increased property tax revenues and in turn more funds in the TIF district to continue the cycle.

INFILL DEVELOPMENT

An important consideration is the concept of infill development. Infill can be defined as the development or redevelopment of properties in an area that take advantage of currently existing infrastructure. Things such as streets and utility connections are expensive improvements to make and are not getting any less expensive. The availability and adjacency of existing infrastructure is an important attribute to capitalize on. This availability is an advantage to a potential project but is often seen as an impediment to many project stakeholders. By partnering with potential projects in the district the city can take advantage of the infrastructure that it already has as opposed to requiring significant new investment or worse

yet not having the budget to maintain new infrastructure. Examples of infill projects can include the development of single family and two family homes on currently vacant residential areas of the district, the development of as higher density residential uses, and the redevelopment of currently existing structures that have potential for redevelopment. It is also important to consider the context of new development. The historic structures that are in the district may require some type protection in the form of design standards or guidelines. In some cases it may be more economical to demolish structures that are beyond saving.

CONFORMANCE TO THE GROWTH POLICY

Montana Code Annotated 7-15-4213 requires that any urban renewal plan is found by the local Planning Board to be in conformance with the currently adopted Growth Policy. The City of Miles City Planning Board has found that this Urban Renewal Plan is in conformance with the 2006 Growth Policy. To illustrate this point, there is a specific recommendation on page 33 of the 2006 Growth Policy that states “Create a tax-increment district encompassing the Main Street Historic District”

CURRENT ZONING

The current zoning of the proposed district is consistent with the objective of redeveloping the district. In addition to the recently adopted Historic Mixed Use Zoning category, the district is composed of a mix of commercial and residential zoning districts. There is a significant movement to remove any actual regulatory impediment to the redevelopment of properties with redevelopment uses in mind. Prior to this change it was not allowable for different uses such as commercial and residential to exist in the same structure. The zoning of the district is consistent with the Growth Policy as per Montana Code Annotated 7-15-4213.

TAX INCREMENT FINANCING

Montana Code Annotated 7-15-4282-4294 authorizes the utilization of Tax Increment Financing in an Urban Renewal District. The City of Miles City intends to utilize Tax Increment Financing in the Miles City Urban Renewal District. The base year for the calculation of incremental values generated is to be 2014 and base values are to be calculated as of January 1, 2014.

Tax Increment revenues generated

Montana Code Annotated specifies what TIF revenues may be used for. It is important to note that the Committee will make recommendations as to the utilization of the funds the City of Miles City Council is ultimately responsible for these funds. No funds may be spent without their approval. To clarify further, the citation is reproduced below.

7-15-4288. Costs that may be paid by tax increment financing. The tax increments may be used by the local government to pay the following costs of or incurred in connection with an urban renewal area or targeted economic development district as identified in the urban renewal plan or targeted economic development district comprehensive development plan:

- (1) land acquisition;
- (2) demolition and removal of structures;
- (3) relocation of occupants;
- (4) the acquisition, construction, and improvement of public improvements or infrastructure, including streets, roads, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, pedestrian malls, alleys, parking lots and offstreet parking facilities, sewers, sewer lines, sewage treatment facilities, storm sewers, waterlines, waterways, water treatment facilities, natural gas lines, electrical lines, telecommunications lines, rail lines, rail spurs, bridges, publicly owned buildings, and any public improvements authorized by Title 7, chapter 12, parts 41 through 45; Title 7, chapter 13, parts 42 and 43; and Title 7, chapter 14, part 47, and items of personal property to be used in connection with improvements for which the foregoing costs may be incurred;
- (5) costs incurred in connection with the redevelopment activities allowed under [7-15-4233](#);
- (6) acquisition of infrastructure-deficient areas or portions of areas;
- (7) administrative costs associated with the management of the urban renewal area or targeted economic development district;

(8) assemblage of land for development or redevelopment by private enterprise or public agencies, including sale, initial leasing, or retention by the local government itself at its fair value;

(9) the compilation and analysis of pertinent information required to adequately determine the needs of the urban renewal area or targeted economic development district;

(10) the connection of the urban renewal area or targeted economic development district to existing infrastructure outside the area or district;

(11) the provision of direct assistance to secondary value-adding industries to assist in meeting their infrastructure and land needs within the area or district; and

(12) the acquisition, construction, or improvement of facilities or equipment for reducing, preventing, abating, or eliminating pollution.

GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

GOAL One: Create Vibrant Historic Downtown Business District

Objectives:

- Provide access to capital through small business lending programs, grants and grant writing services, create funding for locally driven economic development
- Assist potential business and property owners in leveraging existing capital through programs such as Historic Preservation Tax Credits, New Market Tax Credits, HUD and EPA Brownfields dollars, Community Development Block Grant programs, Montana Board of Investment Loans, Montana Department of Transportation planning and construction dollars, and Montana Board of Investment Infrastructure loans, and others.
- Develop and promote programs that provide technical assistance to potential investors in the Urban Renewal District
- Create funding for locally driven economic development utilizing Tax Increment Finance Revenue, and Revolving Loan Fund grants
- Leverage public funds with other resources. Utilizing the resources available to capture other

money is a critical item. Money follows plans

- Perform a market analysis of the district to determine the most appropriate marketing plan and strategies
- Develop Business Recruitment and Retention Plan in coordination with local economic development entities Prioritize the recruitment of business entities planning on long term investment in alignment with community needs and values.
- Capitalize and promote the regional nature of the economy as a place for goods and services for a large part of the eastern Montana economy
- Pursue programs that increase the number of well-paying jobs and raise the standard of living for all citizens
- Promote a vibrant local business climate that will encourage expansion of existing businesses and attract new ventures
- Work with local businesses, Chamber of Commerce, and other area service groups to promote local recreational cultural resources in support of making the area a tourist/recreational destination
- Link renovation and adaptive reuse of historic structures and existing buildings with economic development and housing development

Goal Two: Attract and Retain Work Force

Create an environment that provides all demographics the opportunity to thrive in the local economy and preserves the small town charm of Miles City. It has been said that the greatest export from Miles City is its youth.

Objectives:

- Create a sense of place that allows for all demographics to thrive in the local economy
- Develop strategies and provide business opportunities that will encourage Miles City’s educated youth and young professionals to return home to raise their families and start their businesses.
- Develop strategies and provide opportunities for vocational trades to grow and expand within the local economy.

- Stem the tide of this demographic that have traditionally left and have not returned.
- Support, and coordinate with, the efforts of the local educational community, business leaders, governmental officials and economic development entities to ensure our local educational resources are focused on meeting communities employment training needs

Goal Three: Historic Resources

Recognize the importance of preserving our historic resources for the future economic health of the community as a whole.

Objectives:

- Continue to Promote historic preservation as a key element in developing the tourism segment of Miles City economy
- Maintain and enhance existing tourist attractions and develop new venues that encourage tourists to visit and extend their stay
- Continue to promote and support cultural events and arts programming
- Develop Design Guidelines and/or Standards in the Historic Main Street District and other areas of the downtown core to preserve the architectural character of the area
- Work to create a regulatory framework that will protect our historic resources and community fabric as redevelopment occurs, such as demolition and bonding ordinances to ensure completion of business construction, and local historic overlay districts, ADA accessibility, infill construction and renovations to existing historic structures.
- Remove perceived or actual barriers to redevelopment via a case study review of recent development and how it occurred and what part of those processes can be improved.

Goal Four: Housing for all income levels

Objectives:

- Preserve and rehabilitate the existing supply of affordable housing
- Pursue programs that assist with first time homeownership and private property improvements
- Create more affordable housing and work towards new and replacement housing

- Encourage more live-work environments.
- Encourage and incentivize a diverse housing stock with enough supply to meet current demand
- Recognize the market demand for affordable downtown apartments, and condominium units as in town residence for farmers and ranchers and retirees looking for housing alternatives that better suit their needs and lifestyles

Goal Five: Infrastructure

Enhance Safety and Visual Appeal of Community to improve the image of the community, instill a sense of community pride and improve the quality of life for residents

Objectives:

- City streets and alleys maintained at safe standards, pursue funding and partnerships with other agencies and groups to make needed infrastructure improvements to make the downtown environment “business friendly”
- Test and evaluate existing water, sewer, gas and other utility services and make needed improvements, replace as needed
- Strengthen and improve infrastructure and services with pedestrian amenities such as public restrooms, ADA accessibility.
- Continue to improve and expand the city’s park network
- Well-maintained network of safe and interconnected ADA compliant sidewalks
- Create cleaner and more inviting entrances to the district through signage and design elements
- Enhance community aesthetics that ultimately make the community more attractive to new businesses
- Provide for greater enforcement of regulatory language currently in force
- Investigate the Business Improvement District process to provide for a funding mechanism for the maintenance of public spaces

Goal Six: Transportation

Provide a safe and secure transportation network to include adequate parking

Objectives:

- Utilize available resources to leverage all funding sources to improve the pedestrian connectivity of the downtown and the surrounding residential development. Capitalizing on the adjacent residential properties as customers for the downtown area is a natural fit
- Provide a safe and secure intermodal transportation network to include bicycles, wheelchair access, automobile, and truck traffic to prove each their own place in the same network to ensure safety
- Conduct a parking study to determine that adequacy of the current parking facilities and if and how it can be improved.

PUBLIC INVOLVMENT

As part of the planning process, a public discussion was held October 9th to gather public input from property owners in the district and other stakeholders. The meeting was well attended and provided needed feedback as to what types of things the public would like in the district. These improvements included:

- Increased availability of parking
- Development of office space
- More specialty and boutique retail
- Redevelopment of niche lodging to increase the downtown census
- More eating and drinking establishments

This Urban Renewal Plan is to be viewed as a living document that can respond to new opportunities and changing economic, social and demographic conditions within the community. The City will continue the ongoing planning necessary to incorporate and accommodate new information and conditions as they arise. It is the City's hope and intent that active public involvement will assist in determining priorities, and generate projects that will enhance the community as it evolves to encompass change.

ADMINISTRATION

The Downtown Miles City Urban Renewal District will be administered by the City of Miles City Historic Preservation Office. A steering committee consisting of downtown property owners, representatives of local finance institutions, and city officials will be charged with developing administrative and fiscal policy and direction during the initial creation phase. Following adoption of the plan, this committee will transition into a term appointed Board responsible for advising the City Council as to the expenditure of TIF dollars generated in the district. The HPO will provide staff support to the steering committee and will facilitate the implementation of the Urban Renewal Plan. This is a key component of any successful Urban Renewal District.

ANNUAL BUDGET

The Miles City Urban Renewal District Steering Committee shall prepare an annual program budget that accurately reflects anticipated revenues and operating expenditures, allocating budget for projects aligned with goals of the Plan. The Budget shall be managed in accordance with sound fiscal management policy developed by the Steering Committee, in accordance with City Policies and municipal fund management principles and subject to audit as required. Program and budget may be amended during the course of the fiscal year, to meet changing opportunities, subject to review and approval by the City Council of the City of Miles City.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: PROJECT PRIORITY MATRIX

**Community Discussion:
Preserving Our Cultural Heritage in Our Downtown Historic District
Miles City Eagles Lodge
November 8, 2012 ~ 7:00pm – 9:00pm**

Discussion Topic	Table One	Table Two	Table Three	Table Four
1. What makes Miles City feel like a hometown to you and/or contributes to the bond that you feel for your community?	Social aspects: Friends, Family, Events (weddings, graduations, holidays shared with family & friends	Western Culture, History and Traditions Small town spirit Friendliness, open & Welcoming to visitors Self-reliant & Independent people Friendliness/neighbors Shared values	Size of community – small Values are relative to size, Ag-based, rural community, Sense of open space, Familiar landscapes Friendliness	Common Values Shared history of, and vision for community we live in
2. What aspects of downtown Miles City’s cultural heritage do you value and feel are important to preserve for future generations to enjoy?	Familiar Landscapes & Familiar Landmarks Buildings – Iconic (old)	Landmarks Traditional Downtown Events: Parades, BHS, Holiday Stroll, Fall Festival, Hayrides Locally owned businesses – not a bunch of franchises Western Culture – stores reflect – Saddlery, Hats, Saddles Preserve Neon Signs	Downtown shopping Walkability/Friendliness Bar’s unique neon signage Architecture – Buildings tell the story of our history Denton Field Oasis	Architecture – “Cityscape” Walkability vs Haynes Ave Preserve Riverside Park, Enhance West – Ft. Keogh Entrance to Fifth St, Denton Field, Cook Lake, Art Center, Depot Movie Theater Trees downtown Benches Parks
3. What values or factors do you feel are unique to our community and would like downtown Miles City to reflect and support?	Community Values, Rebuild vibrancy, Business show pride of ownership (clean up!), Local support of merchants, Preserve Past but be open	Community Pride, Inviting, Clean, well maintained features Cultural History/Heritage Cultural events - BHS	First Impressions important Historic Features Open/friendly small town Safe town Community Pride: Clean, well maintained	Values Historic features Friendly, Miles City represents small town rural America Western Heritage – Cowboy Code

	to new ideas/reuse – not static Value Western Culture but not commercialized			Independence is an asset not a liability Spirit & drive to rebuild after fires
4. What types of things could be downtown that support those values, for example, what kinds of businesses, social venues, activities. What about those that reflect the values the group agreed were important?	Clean/open – busy people (vibrancy) Residences – if people live downtown it creates business opportunities and supports family values: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local markets • Splash pads/kids • Indoor pool at Oasis Support local businesses West End Project – direct business traffic	Hotels – Olive and one more Anchor Stores Main Attracts Mid-downtown public restrooms & Other services for walkers	Restore old buildings, Successful Businesses (as opposed to fly-by-night) Residential – which will increase vibrancy, use	Maintain Verdant Landscape Use existing resources Promote Downtown West End project to capture business traffic (Leverage west end while Haynes under construction) Historic Lighting – other pedestrian friendly amenities Develop existing buildings for maximum return Recruit Stable Businesses
4a. What types of things could be downtown that DON'T support the values the group thinks are important, and why don't they?	Height restrictions on new construction	No more casinos No more Beauty Salons	Eliminate empty storefronts, vacant buildings	General Blight Vandalism Weeds/Trash
Group Discussion 5. How could we continue working together on this? What can we do beyond this community conversation to keep the dialogue going?	Fragmented community communication: Many separate groups w/different projects affects sustainability of community Coordinate common goals: Different groups communicate different projects better			
Discussion Format:	Four tables of five participants and one scribe which captured the work of each table for each question Facilitator led discussion and captured each table's work and disseminated to full group			

APPENDIX B: PROPERTY OWNERS

<i>Tax Payer Name</i>	<i>Tax Payer Name</i>	<i>Tax Payer Name</i>
101 PARK AVENUE PARTNERS INC	BEACON CARTER SERVICE	BROWN SHEILA A CO TRUSTEE
600 CAFE INC	BEALS BYRON E	BRUCE BILLY PAGE
607 HOLDINGS LLC	BEAN PROPERTIES LLC	BRYAN BEN A JR
A-1 CONCRETE	BECKER DENISE M	BRYAN BENNY
ADAMS LINDA J	BECKMAN STEVEN R & NICOLE M	BUFORD PAMELA
ADRIAN GREGORY W	BEELER MIKE	BUNDY JANE & DUANE E
ADT LLC	BENNERT LAWRENCE W III & LINDA A	BURK JOHN J JR & MICHELLE A
ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY, INC.	BENNETT LARRY E	BYRNE DONALD L & SHIRLEY
AERIE 885 FRATERNAL ORDER OF EAGLES	BERGERSON BONNIE L	C J G PARTNERSHIP LLP
AG PARTNERS LLC	BICE GRACIE E	CABLE & COMMUNICATIONS
ALLEN NELLIE MAE	BIG SKY FINANCIAL PLANNING CORP	CABLE & COMMUNICATIONS CORP
ALLISON KARLA K	BIG SKY PHARMACY	CAREY MICHAEL J
ALLISON MAGGI	BIG SKY PROPERTY RENTALS LLC	CARLSON DONALD D
AMELINE RUSSELL D	BIG SKY TRUCK AND AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR LLC	CARLSON PROPERTIES LLC
AMERIPRISE FINANCIAL	BISON BAR INC	CARTER BRYAN
ANDERSON BETTY	BLOTSKY MARNIE L	CATALINA MARKETING CORP INC
ANDERSON SPENCER P & KRISTEN L	BLUE ROCK DISTRIBUTING CO	CDH HOLDINGS LLC
ANJOLI INC	BNSF RAILWAY CO	CENEX INC
APL-J PROPERTIES LLC	BNSF RAILWAY CO	CENTURYLINK INC
ARMSTRONG DOUGLAS & JACKIE L	BOARD BY BOARD CONSTRUCTION LLC	CENTURYLINK INC

ARMSTRONG MARIE	BOHLMAN RENTALS LLC	CENTURYLINK INC
ARNOLD DANIEL K & PATRICIA	BOSS INC	CHAMPION W H
ARNOLDT LAWRENCE E EDDIE	BOSS RENTALS LLC	CHEP USA
AT HOME MANAGEMENT LLC	BOTTRELL FAMILY INVESTMENTS LTD PTSHP	CHERRY DONALD J
AT&T COMMUNICATIONS	BOVEE JUDY	CHS INC
AT&T COMMUNICATIONS	BOVEE TRUMAN A III & JUDY K	CISCO SYSTEMS CAPITAL CORP
AT&T COMMUNICATIONS	BOYD COFFEE COMPANY	CITY OF MILES CITY
B & A HOLDINGS LLC	BOYT GEORGE E & TAMMY JO	CITYSERVICEVALCON LLC
BAKKEN NICOLE R	BRADLEY BOB B & COLVIN JOHN C	CLARK GARY L & JOANNE L
BALSAM E G & L W	BRADLEY JACK WHITNEY	CLARKE INSURANCE INC
BALSAM INC	BREWER WALTER THOMAS	CLARKE TOM PROPERTIES INC
BARBOUR ANDREW & ELIZABETH RAE	BRIGGS WILLIAM C	CLENDENEN JANICE L
BARCLAY SANDRA L	BRODSTON INC	CLUB 519
BAUMGARDNER RAYMOND T	BROWN INSURANCE AGENCY INC	CMC HEARTLAND PARTNERS
BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORPORATION	BROWN MURRAY S	COLE MARK R CPA PC
COLLINS PETER	DELUXE MOTORS INC	FARMER BROS CO
CONLEY MICHAEL W & SHERI L	DENIO PAUL RICHARD & WANDA BASTIAN	FARMERS UNION OIL CO
CONLEY RENEE FORMERLY LOWRIMORE RENEE	DIRECTV LLC	FARMERS UNION OIL COMPANY OF WORDEN MONTANA
CONLEY RONALD	DISCOVERY POND	FEDERAL EXPRESS CORP
CONRAD NORMAN & KATHRYN	DISCUS DENTAL INC	FERRIS SHELBY S
CORBIN J DENNIS ATTORNEY AT LAW	DISH NETWORK LLC	FIECHTNER JACK
CORTEZ TROY	DISHNET SATELLITE BROADBAND LLC	FIETZ CAROL
COWBOY COBBLER	DJS PROPERTIES LLC	FILLAFER CURTIS
COWLES MONTANA MEDIA COMPANY	DKS INVESTMENTS LLC	FILLER JOHN T & CATHY R
COWTOWN AG SUPPLY LLC	DMX INC	FINANCIAL PACIFIC LEASING LLC

COWTOWN AG SUPPLY, LLC	DOBIE TEAL MARIE	FIRST AMERICAN COMMERCIAL BANC
CREATIVE CORNER BY SANDY	DOBSTAFF DEANNA K	FIRST BAPTIST CHURCH
CREMER JAMES CRAIG & DANNETTE M	DOEDEN JERRY D & KATHERINE F	FIRST INTERSTATE BANK OF COMMERCE
CRIDCO LLC	DOLATTA JAMES E	FIRST INTERSTATE BANK OF MILES CITY
CRIDLAND GARY L & BERNADETTE	DOUBLE S DEVELOPMENT	FIRST PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH
CROSSEY TRACY L	DOWL LLC	FIRST SECURITY BANK
CULLIGAN STORE SOLUTIONS INC	DUFFY DUANE & KARLA	FIRST UNITED METHODIST CHURCH
CURTIS PAMELA L TRUSTEE	DUFFY JANETTE	FLEMING TAB J & SANTOS A
CUSTER CO COMMUNITY BROADCASTING CORP	EASTERN MONTANA COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTER	FLORIDAS NATURAL FOOD SERVICE INC
CUSTER CO FARM BUREAU INSURANCE	EASTERN MONTANA INDUSTRIES	FLOTKOETTER RICHARD A
CUSTER COUNTY	EBERT EDITH C	FOOD SERVICES OF AMERICA
CUSTER COUNTY CONFERENCE OF THE SOCIETY	EDDY ANGELA MARIE	FORE LUKE
CUSTER COUNTY HIGH SCHOOL	EDWARD D JONES & CO LP	FOSSIL DEVELOPMENT COMPANY LLC
DALAKOW CRAIG J	EISELE NICHOLAS P & ROBYN S	FRANKS SHORT STOP II LLC
DALBEC JOSEPH N & GERALDINE A	ELAVON	FRARE MITCH & MONTY
DANE JO ANN A	ELLIS PAUL	FREDERICK DALE C & ERNA JILL
DARVIAL DERON A	ENGINEERING PROPERTIES LLC	FREEBIRD II LLC
DAUGHERTY BOBBY D & KAREN A	EPLUS GROUP INC	FRIEND DANIEL P & NICOLE C
DAVIS BERTHA & MORAN ANNA	ERLENBUSCH INSURANCE	FUJIFILM NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION
DAVIS LORN & LUDWIG DAWN D	ERLENBUSCH LAVERN & LINDA	G & T MCFARLAND LLC
DAVIS MICHAEL R & PATSY C	ETCHEMENDY LLC	GALAXY INVESTMENTS LLC
DE LAGE LANDEN OPERATIONAL SERIVCES LLC	EVERGREEN HOLDINGS GROUP	GALBRAITH SUSANNE M
DEANDA ARMANDO & MERRY J	FAMILY FLORAL	GAPPA SUMMER L & JIM M
DEIBEL JACK & MARY LOU	FARM BUREAU FINANCIAL SERVICES & INSURANCE	GASKILL DELORES STEINER

DELL EQUIPMENT FUNDING LP	FARM CREDIT SERVICES	GCN HOLDING LLC
GE CAPITAL INFORMATION TECH SOLUTIONS INC	HARRISON INVESTMENT PROPERTIES LLC	J & T PROPERTY MANAGEMENT LLC
GEDROSE DAVID T & MARILYN L	HARTMAN DONNA K	J L FUTURES
GEORGE ROBERT E & SUZANNE M & NICKOLAS R	HARTMAN PAUL A	JACKS BODY SHOP
GERGEN EDWARD J & REBECCA L	HATCHER BRYCE	JAMES T FLINN POST 1579
GERO ROGER	HAWORTH TONI L	JAMES T FLINN POST NO 1579
GIERKE IOLA H	HELLICKSON CHRISTEL	JARRETT MIKE & DELLA J
GILBERT JACK ALLEN	HELMTS RUSSELL S & KIMBERLY D	JAS BEN C & DORIE LOU
GILBERTSON NANCY P	HENDERSON DAVID H & VERNA L	JERREL JOSEPH H
GILBERTSON THOMAS M	HENRICHS DICK L	JERRYS REFRIGERATION SERVICE
GLADER ELECTRIC	HESS KENNETH R & VICKI K	JOHNSON ANTHONY P & KARLA K
GM-DI LEASING COPORATION	HICKEY ROBERT D	JOHNSON JOHN B & CATHERINE M K
GOOKIN JIMMY G	HILGER NELSON D	JOHNSON LOREN C
GORDON DIANA E & LEVI R	HILL EUNICE E	JONES COREY L & JANETTE KRUTZFELDT
GREAT GRAINS HEALTH FOODS	HILL ROM CO INC	JONES MICHELLE
GREAT NORTHERN PROPERTIES	HILL WILLIAM J & ALANDRA K	JUHL TRACEY L
GREEN THELMA EVALYN AKA GREEN EVALYN T	HILLIS STEWART N & ANITA L	JULES PHOTOGRAPHY & GALLERY LLC
GREER GLEN CHARLES & CHERI LEA	HILLMAN GROUP INC	KANENWISCHER MARVIN & JOANN
GRENZ CHERYL S & MICHAEL C	HINEBAUCH AMANDA M	KARANS BARBERSHOP
GRENZ CHRIS A & GLORIA	HODGSON LINDA A	KEMPTON CORA
GRESENS IRA & JOANN	HOLE IN THE WALL	KETCHAM STANLEY L
GRUNHUVD PAGE MARIE	HOLMEN C BRYAN & SHANNON M	KFM INCORPORATED
GRYWUSIEWICZ IGNATIUS	HOLOM ALAN M & LINDA S	KICKIN ASS HAT COMPANY
GW SERVICES INC	HONG KONG RESTAURANT	KING JOYCE M
HAGEMAN AARON DEAN	HORAN STEVEN J & LINDA M	KINSEY SANDRA SCHEID & JASE

HAIR AFFAIR	HORIZONS UNLIMITED LLC	KIRCHHECK DENNIS R & SANDRA
HAIR BENDERS	HORTON JOYCE TOOKE	KKRY
HAIR DESIGN BY LYNNE	HOVERSON WILLIAM S & JOANN K	KLEIN ANTONIA
HAKER LAW OFFICE	HOWARD JAMES O	KLIPPENSTEIN STACY S & CARRIE S
HALLMARK MARKETING CORP	HOWE EARL L & PAULA S	KOA CAMPGROUNDS
HANSON TERRY J & DEBORAH L	HOWEY ANDERS PATRICK	KOHONES SANDRA S & KENNETH W
HARDEN LINDA SUE	IBM CREDIT LLC	KOUNTZ PATRICIA
HARDESTY CAROL & MEIDINGER GARY	INGRAHAM MARC ALYN & ASHLEY CAMILLE	KRACHT KELLY W & JOYCE M
HARDESTY REAL ESTATE LLP	INNOVATIVE MARKETING SOLUTIONS LLC	KRAFT NATHAN P
HARDY BERNARD E & EDYTHE M	INTEGRITY REALTY OF MILES CITY LLC	KRAUSZ CHANCE J
HARMS MERIAH J	INTERFACE SECURITY SYSTEMS LLC	KRON LYNNE M
HARRIS DAVID A & COLETTE M	INTRALOT INC	KRUGER WINDOWS
KRUTZFELDT JAMIE B	LUDWIG JAMES & JUDY	MILES CITY FEED LLC
KRUTZFELDT WILLIAM J & JULIE A	LUND ROBERT L	MILES CITY KOA CAMPGROUND LLC
KUCHYNKA RUTH ANN	M & C BEVERAGE INC	MILES CITY LODGE BPO ELKS
KUCHYNKA STEPHANIE	MACKEY HERBERT C & HOUT-MACKEY PATRICIA	MILES CITY RV PARK LLC
KUPER ASHLEE	MACQUARIE EQUIPMENT FINANCE LLC	MILES CITY SADDLERY
KYUS/KMTA	MADSEN KEN	MILES CITY WRESTLING CLUB INC
L N REAL ESTATE LLC	MAIER DUANE L & DEBORAH A	MILLER & HOLMES INC
LA CASA DIAMONDS LLC	MAILFINANCE INC	MILLER TIMOTHY I
LAAKSO CHARLES E	MAIN STREET BUSINESS SERVICES	MILLIGAN MILES W II & BETH A
LAMACH WILLIAM A & JACQUELINE A	MALLEY RAY G	MINNESOTA REGIS CORP
LAMAR OF BILLINGS	MANE CUT	MOGLE LOUISE E & LEWIS M
LAMBRIGHT ERNEST & CONNIE	MANGEN ELECTRIC INC	MOLLGAARD CARTER & BETSY
LANCHBURY DONNA	MANNING LARRY	MONIER KENNETH L

LANDERS JADE & KYLEIGH	MARLIN LEASING CORPORATION	MONTANA BARBER SHOP
LANDMARK LAND COMPANY LLC	MARTIN DIANE M	MONTANA BEAUTY COLLEGE
LANDRY CHARLES J	MARTIN ED & HELEN	MONTANA CLAIMS SERV OF MILES CITY
LANDRY DANIEL A	MARTIN ED L & HELEN K	MONTANA DAKOTA UTILITIES
LANEY CANDUS E	MARTIN KENNETH	MONTANA DAKOTA UTILITIES – ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION
LAPAGLIA BONNIE	MARTIN ROBERT S	MONTANA DAKOTA UTILITIES - GAS DISTRIBUTION
LAW CENTER	MASA JOHN G	MONTANA DEPT OF HIGHWAYS
LAWRENCE WAYNE B	MASONIC TEMPLE ASSN	MONTANA HEALTH NETWORK INC
LAY RITE FLOOR COVERING	MATTHEWS BROTHERS REAL ESTATE LLC	MONTANA SKY LLC
LEASECOMM CORP	MATZEN BILL	MONTANA THEATRE
LEATHERBERRY ALFRED L	MCDANIEL SUSAN J	MOORE DARRYL
LEGACY RIDE LLC	MCFARLAND FAMILY DENTISTRY INC	MOORE GREG & DEBIE
LEGGATE ERIC J	MCKINNEY PROPERTIES LLC	MOORE THOMAS W & KRISTI L
LEIDHOLT DUSTIN ALLEN	MCMACKIN JOSEPH W	MOOREHEAD RENTAL PROPERTIES LLC
LEIGHTON HOUSE LLC	MCRAE CLARK L & ROBIN K	MORGART JERRY & ROBERT
LILES LEVI P	MEDELA INC	MOTHERSHEAD GENE
LINCARE INC	MEGED BART & MISTY	MOTT THOMAS B & PATRICIA M
LOCKIE J BRUCE & SANDRA L	MEIDINGER JERRY W & SANDRA	MTN REALTY
LONE BUCKAROO INC	MEIDINGER LEROY & LORI	MTPCS LLC
LONG JOHN J & RACHEL I	MEISSEL ALVIN	MUDDS & SUDS
LOSINSKI GREGORY J & LINDA	MENDENHALL ROSE ANN	MULLEN REALTY
LOWRIMORE RENEE	MERCHANTS CAPITAL	MURI DAX ROBERT & ELIZABETH
LUCAS & TONN PC	MID RIVERS TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE	
LUCAS JAMES P	MIDTOWN HAIR FASHION	MURNION JOYE
MYSTIK SALON	PASHA LLC	QWEST CORPORATION

NANSEL ARLO D	PAULSON MARK J	RACINE INDUSTRIES INC
NATIONAL ENTERTAINMENT NETWORK LLC	PEAVEY OCCIDENT ELEVATORS	RANGE RIDERS BAR
NEESE DARREL A & NANCY S	PEDAL POWER SPORTS	REBSOM LORETTA A
NEIBAUER ESTHER I	PEDERSON ALTON & ROSE MARIE	RECALL SDS
NELSON ROCKY & EDNA J	PEDERSON CARRIE	RECREATION LANES
NESTLE PROFESSIONAL VITALITY	PENROD HAY GRINDING LLC	REED MICHAEL J
NEUTS INC	PEPSICO SALES INC	REGALADO CHARLES L & CLAUDINE L
NGO FRANK ALAN	PIERSON ROBERT D & ROBYN	REGAN PLUMBING & HEATING
NIECE JOHN J & KIRA N	PIONEER RENTALS LLC	REIN CARL D & CARYN L
NIEMI KENNETH H & CAROL A	PITNEY BOWES GLOBAL FINANCIAL SVCS LLC	RENTSCHLER TONI
NILES PANSY & ALMA MARIE	PLM RAILCAR MAINTENANCE	REX JOHN W SR
NORSBY DOUGLAS JAY	PLOG PAULA	RICHARDS BRENDA J
NORTHERN LEASING SYSTEMS INC	PMLB LLC	RIGGS CAMERA & GIFTS
NORTHSIDE BEVERAGE CO	PNCEF LLC	ROGERS FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST
NUNN JERAMY NATHAN	PONESSA RUSSELL	ROLLING RUBBER LLC
O K BARBER SHOP	PRICE MICHAEL V & CAROL J	ROLLING RUBBER TRUCK CENTER
OAKLAND IMPROVEMENTS INC	PUBLIC LIBRARY	RONNING JOHN A
OFFICIOUS INTERMEDDLER LLC	PURVIS ROBBY D & DANIEL	RONNING MATTHEW S
OLSON SOPHIE A	QLT CONSUMER LEASE SERVICES INC	ROSS WENDI
ORPHEUM THEATRE INC	QUAD K SUPPLY	ROST RICKY D
OTT KEVIN	QUINLAN RICHARD & VIRGINIA	ROWLAND THOMAS & COMPANY
OTTENBACHER JACK R & ELNORA K	QWEST CORPORATION	RSB PROPERTIES LLC
OUTERWALL INC	RACINE INDUSTRIES INC	RUSSELL DARLENE E
PACIFIC HIDE & FUR DEPOT	RANGE RIDERS BAR	SAFETY KLEEN SYSTEMS INC
PACO PACKERS INC	REBSOM LORETTA A	SALAZAR THOMAS D & DARLA R

PAI ATM SERVICES LLC	RECALL SDS	SANDERSON SUSAN K
PAMPERED CHEF	RECREATION LANES	SAPPE JAMES J
PAPA JOE'S SHOP LLC	REED MICHAEL J	SCANLAN JOHN L & JEANNETTE
PARKER ALLAN	REGALADO CHARLES L & CLAUDINE L	SCHEIBEL ROBERT
PARKER CHERYL LEE (SHERI)	REGAN PLUMBING & HEATING	SCHMIDT BRAD & STEWART
PARKERS SUPER SERVICE INC	REIN CARL D & CARYN L	SCHMITZ MICHAEL J & RHONDA K
PARKS GARY	QWEST CORPORATION	SCHMITZ MIKE J
PARKS LINDA D	RACINE INDUSTRIES INC	SCHNEIDT BETTY ANN
PARKS MARCY	RANGE RIDERS BAR	SCHOCK ALAN L & COLLEEN S
PARTRIDGE MARY CPA	REBSOM LORETTA A	SCHWEITZER MYRON & JODI
SDR INVESTMENTS LLC	STEADMAN'S RANCH & PROPERTIES LLC	TRAILS INN
SECURITY ABSTRACT & TITLE CO	STEELE HELEN E	TRANSCO RAILCAR REPAIR INC
SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES INC	STEIN CHIROPRACTIC & SPORTS CLINIC	TUCKER GLORIA E
SENTRY FINANCIAL CORP	STEIN KENNETH P & KRISTI R	TURLEY RALPH G
SHEAR FUN	STEVENSON DESIGN INC	TURNQUIST MARK A
SHELTON ROBERT E & PAMELA Y	STEWART KENNETH	TWO RIVERS TITLE LLC
SHIELDS BARBARA A	STOCKHILL JEWELERS JOHN	TYCO INTEGRATED SECURITY LLC
SHIPMAN BERL J	STOCKTON OIL	U1 GAMING
SHIPMAN LEON B & RAYANDA M	STOLTZ FRANK F & M PATRICIA	UELAND ENTERPRISES LLC
SHOOK MICHELLE A CUMMMINGS	STOLTZ RENTALS LLC	UNITE PRIVATE NETWORKS LLC
SHORT STOP	STOLTZ TIM & CAROLYN	UNITED PARCEL SERVICE
SID TOOL CO INC	STRADLEY JEREMY S & MARCY G	US BANK NA
SIGN PRODUCTS INC	STRAIT RUSSELL D	US POSTAL SERVICE
SILVER LINING ENTERPRISES LLC	STRAND SIDNEY L & KIMBERLY J	VANCLEAVE PAUL E & PHYLLIS N
SIMPSON JAMES D & MICHELLE A	STRATTON TERRY A	VETERANS OF FOREIGN WARS

SINGLETON JAY DEE TESTAMENTARY TRUST	STREITMATTER KENT	VIASAT INC
SINGLETON JERRY D	STROM RUBY J & SYLVESTER E	WABASHA LEASING LLC
SLATER DEWAYNE KENNETH	STUDIO TEN SALON & DAY SPA	WACKER FRED AGENCY INS
SMITH BONNIE J	SULLIVANS FURNITURE	WAMBOLT FRED III & WINNIE
SMITH BRANDEL R	SUMMERS NED K & PATSY J	WARNER D LOUISE TRUSTEE
SMITH JESSE W JR & DEBRA L	SUNRISE INC	WARNER DONALD D & JOYCE
SMITH KRISTINE J	SUPERIOR SOUND INC	WARNER JESSE & ELAINE
SMITH LORI & SCOTT	SWANK ENTERPRISES	WATTS RONALD J & CONNIE L
SMITH MATTHEW L	SWENSON JOHN T	WATTS RYAN J & BETH A
SMITH SCOTT A & LORI A	TETSCHNER WILLIAM F & MARJORIE M	WEEKS ALEXANDER OBADIAH
SMUCKER FOODSERVICE INC	TEXAS CLUB	WEISCHEDEL KATHERINE R
SOUTH LARRY & MIKE	THAUT ROCKY J & TERESA L	WELLEMS JOHN V & LOU ANN
SPARBY DAVID T & CHRISTINA M	THE ZARIN NEVILLE SARKARI TRUST	WELLS FARGO HOME MORTGAGE
STABLER HAZEL J	THIBAUT JAMES RAY	WESEN THOMAS J
STALLARD & ASSOCIATES P C	THJ PROPERTIES INC	WESTALL DONALD R & VIVIAN L
STANLEY CHIROPRACTIC	THORMODSGARD LLC	WESTERN FARM BUREAU SERVICE CO
STANLEY JERRY LYNN & PEGGY SUE	THRAMS ERIC	WESTERN GAMING INC
STAR PRINTING CO INC	TOENNIS LYNNETTE R	WESTERN UNION FINANCIAL SERVICES INC
STARCK PROPERTIES LLC	TOM CLARKE PROPERTIES INC	WHEATCROFT J B ATTORNEY AT LAW
STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INS CO	TOOKE JOHN R CPA	WHEATCROFT RICHARD
STATE OF MONTANA	TOWLER BEVERLY J	WHEELER DAVID & JEAN J
WHIPPS FRAME SHOP	WILLSON BONNIE A & DAVID A	WURTZ MIKE
WHITE PATRICK & MARY KAY	WILLSON CARA CASTLEBERRY	XEROX CORPORATION
WIEDEMAN HAYWARD L & SHERI L	WILLSON DOLORES P	ZAYO GROUP LLC
WIELAND RICHARD P & REGINA M	WINDANCER FARMS GEN PARTNERSHIP	

WILHELM JOHN & TWILA

WINKLEY ALLEN

WILLIAMS JEFF A

WOHLGENANT DUNCAN & DEBORAH

WILLIAMS MARILYN F

WOLF DENNIS & LANELL

APPENDIX C: STATE STATUTES

The following Montana Code Annotated 7-15-42 provide statutory guidance in regard to Urban Renewal Districts with Tax Increment Finance Authority:

Table of Contents

TITLE 7. LOCAL GOVERNMENT CHAPTER 15. HOUSING AND CONSTRUCTION

Part 42. Urban Renewal

- [7-15-4201. Short title.](#)
- [7-15-4202. Existence of blighted areas and resulting problems -- statement of policy.](#)
- [7-15-4203. Need for redevelopment and rehabilitation of blighted areas.](#)
- [7-15-4204. Interpretation.](#)
- [7-15-4205. Scope.](#)
- [7-15-4206. Definitions.](#)
- [7-15-4207. Prohibition against discrimination.](#)
- [7-15-4208. Encouragement of private enterprise.](#)
- [7-15-4209. Development of workable urban renewal program.](#)
- [7-15-4210. Resolution of necessity required to utilize provisions of part.](#)
- [7-15-4211. Preparation of comprehensive development plan for municipality.](#)
- [7-15-4212. Preparation of urban renewal plan.](#)
- [7-15-4213. Review of urban renewal plan by planning commission.](#)
- [7-15-4214. Hearing on urban renewal plan required.](#)
- [7-15-4215. Notice of hearing on urban renewal plan.](#)
- [7-15-4216. Requirements for approval of urban renewal plans and projects.](#)
- [7-15-4217. Criteria for approval of urban renewal project.](#)
- [7-15-4218. Voter approval of urban renewal plan required when general obligation bonds to be used.](#)
- [7-15-4219. Effect of approval of urban renewal project.](#)
- [7-15-4220. Use of neighborhood development program to implement urban renewal activities.](#)
- [7-15-4221. Modification of urban renewal project plan.](#)
- [7-15-4222. through reserved.](#)
- [7-15-4231. Exercise of powers related to urban renewal.](#)

[7-15-4232. Authorization to assign urban renewal powers to municipal departments or to create urban renewal agency.](#)

[7-15-4233. Powers which may be exercised by urban renewal agency or authorized department.](#)

[7-15-4234. Urban renewal agency to be administered by appointed board of commissioners.](#)

[7-15-4235. Restrictions on agency commissioners holding other public office.](#)

[7-15-4236. Conduct of business.](#)

[7-15-4237. Annual report.](#)

[7-15-4238. Employment of necessary staff.](#)

[7-15-4239. Control of conflict of interest.](#)

[7-15-4240. Misconduct in office.](#)

[7-15-4241. through reserved.](#)

[7-15-4251. General powers of municipalities in connection with urban renewal.](#)

[7-15-4252. Prevention and elimination of urban blight.](#)

[7-15-4253. Relocation of displaced families.](#)

[7-15-4254. Municipal power in the preparation of various plans.](#)

[7-15-4255. Authority to provide or contract for services related to urban renewal.](#)

[7-15-4256. Restriction on operation of certain utility services by municipality.](#)

[7-15-4257. Authority to enter private property.](#)

[7-15-4258. Acquisition and administration of real and personal property.](#)

[7-15-4259. Exercise of power of eminent domain.](#)

[7-15-4260. Exemption from levy and sale for certain property.](#)

[7-15-4261. Exemption from taxation for certain property.](#)

[7-15-4262. Disposal of municipal property in urban renewal areas.](#)

[7-15-4263. Procedure to dispose of property to private persons.](#)

[7-15-4264. Obligations of transferees of municipal property in urban renewal area.](#)

[7-15-4265. Presumption of regularity in transfer of title.](#)

[7-15-4266. Temporary use of municipal property in urban renewal area.](#)

[7-15-4267. Cooperation by public bodies.](#)

[7-15-4268. through reserved.](#)

[7-15-4277. Short title.](#)

[7-15-4278. Legislative findings -- purpose.](#)

[7-15-4279. Targeted economic development districts.](#)

[7-15-4280. Resolution of necessity required for targeted economic development district.](#)

[7-15-4281. Financial authority in connection with urban renewal.](#)

[7-15-4282. Authorization for tax increment financing.](#)

[7-15-4283. Definitions related to tax increment financing.](#)
[7-15-4284. Filing of tax increment provisions plan or district ordinance.](#)
[7-15-4285. Determination and report of original, actual, and incremental taxable values.](#)
[7-15-4286. Procedure to determine and disburse tax increment.](#)
[7-15-4287. Provision for use of portion of tax increment.](#)
[7-15-4288. Costs that may be paid by tax increment financing.](#)
[7-15-4289. Use of tax increments for bond payments.](#)
[7-15-4290. Use of property taxes and other revenue for payment of bonds.](#)
[7-15-4291. Agreements to remit unused portion of tax increments.](#)
[7-15-4292. Termination of tax increment financing -- exception.](#)
[7-15-4293. Adjustment of base taxable value following change of law or local disaster.](#)
[7-15-4294. Assessment agreements.](#)
[7-15-4295. Repealed.](#)
[7-15-4296. Repealed.](#)
[7-15-4297. Repealed.](#)
[7-15-4298. Repealed.](#)
[7-15-4299. Repealed.](#)

<>

APPENDIX D: LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

Mayor

Chris A. Grenz

City Council Members

Sue Galbraith
Ken Gardner
Sheena Martin
John Hollowell, President
Mark Ahner
Roxanna Brush
Dwayne Andrews
Jerry Partridge

City Staff

Lorrie Pearce, City Clerk
Connie Watts, Deputy Clerk
Scott Gray, Public Works
Al Kelm, Public Service
Dawn Colton, Planning
Connie Muggli, Historic
Preservation
Samantha Malenowsky, Flood
Plain Admin
Dennis Hirsh, Building Inspector
Dianna Larson, Secretary

Planning Board

Brad Certain
Carol Hardesty
Spencer Haynes
Twila Wilhelm
Dale Barta
Dawn Leidholt
Colette Butcher

Historic Preservation Commission

Kathy Doeden
Doug Melton
Melissa Hartman
Ms. Denise Hartse
Jerry Partridge
Mr. Mark Browning
Mr. Derrick Rodgers

County Commissioners

Vicki Hamilton
Keith Holmlund

Kevin Krauz

Superintendent of Schools

Keith Campbell
Dr. Robert Wagner, Chair

Chamber of Commerce

John Laney, Executive Director

Miles City Area Economic Development Council (MCAEDC)

Mark Petersen, President
Mike Coryell, Executive
Director,
Members of the Board

Southeastern Montana Economic Development Corporation

Jim Atchison, Executive
Director

Local Residents and Business People

Amundson, Erik
Anderson, Sandra
Armstrong, Dorothy
Breisch, David
Cathay, Bill & Sheryl
Certain, Brad
Cremer, Dannette
Dalbec, Jeri
Deibel, Jack A.
DiAnder, Merry
Ela, Scott
Feickert, Tracey
Gilbertson, Nancy
Gillette, Todd
Griffith, Erica
Grube, Mary
Hafer, Randy
Hardest, Carol
Hartman, Jan
Heitz, Glen
Hill, George
Holman, Bryan
Holmlund, Ken

Local residents and Business, cont'd

Hould, Melyndanshen
Janshen, Brandon
Jourdan, Lisa
Killooy, Daniel
Korell, Matt
Krutzfeldt, William
Laakso, Chuck
Laird, Sigrid
Leischner, Brent
Lesh, Monty
Madler, Mona
Makelky, Robbin
Markuson, Stan
Martin, Bryant
McLane, Kathleen
Melnick, Bill
Miller, Celeste
Mitchell, Dick
Mitchell, Greg
Mitchell, Nancy
Mollman, Blake
Moore, Greg and Debbie
Muri, Jacki
Murnin, Karla
Neiffer, Pat
Nelson, Heather
Otis, Laura
Petersen, Polly
Pinkerton, Jennifer
Rice, Dan
Rodenbaugh, Jeff
Rolandson, Amy
Scheuering, John
Schmidt, Donna
Smith, Dave
Steadman, Todd
Stein, Ken
Stevenson, Karen
Stevenson, Mike
Stoltz, Frank
Strouf, Jason

Local residents and Business, cont'd

Tooke, John
Uden, John
Williams, Jeff